

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

HAROLD CORREOS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING
CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

2:12-CV-556 JCM (RJJ)

ORDER

Presently before the court is defendant National Default Servicing Corporation's motion to dismiss. (Doc. # 23). Plaintiffs Harold Correos and Rosemarie Correos failed to file an opposition.

"To survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face.'" *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). "Where a complaint pleads facts that are 'merely consistent' with a defendant's liability, it 'stops short of the line between possibility and plausibility of entitlement to relief.'" *Id.* (citing *Bell Atlantic*, 550 U.S. at 557). However, where there are well pled factual allegations, the court should assume their veracity and determine if they give rise to relief. *Id.* at 1950.


Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(d), an opposing party's failure to file a timely response to any motion constitutes the party's consent to the granting of the motion and is proper grounds for dismissal. *U.S. v. Warren*, 601 F.2d 471, 474 (9th Cir. 1979). However, prior to dismissal, the

1 district court is required to weigh several factors: “(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution
2 of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4)
3 the public policy favoring disposition of cases of their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic
4 sanctions.” *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d
5 1421, 1423 (9th Cir. 1986)).

6 In light of the plaintiffs’ failure to respond and weighing the factors identified in *Ghazali*,
7 the court finds dismissal appropriate.

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant National Default
9 Servicing Corporation’s motion to dismiss (doc. # 23) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED. The
10 case is hereby dismissed as to defendant National Default Servicing Corporation without prejudice.

11 DATED September 10, 2012.

12
13 
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE